Innovation and Integrative Research Center Journal
ISSN: 2584-1491 | www.iircj.org
Volume-4 | Issue-10 | October-2026 | Page 14-34

Legal Responses to Naxalism in Chhattisgarh – Implementation Challenges and Human Rights Concerns

[1]Samridhi Kesharwani, [2]Dr. Azim Khan B.Pathan
[1]Scholar, [2]Dean and Professor
[1,2]Department of Law, Kalinga University, Raipur.

Abstract

Naxalism has remained an important internal security and legal issue in Chhattisgarh. Addressing this problem requires effective law enforcement while ensuring the protection of constitutional rights and human dignity. The government has a responsibility to maintain public order and protect citizens, but all security measures must operate within the framework of the law. Balancing national security with individual rights is therefore an important concern in areas affected by Left Wing Extremism.

This paper examines the legal framework relating to Naxalism in Chhattisgarh, with particular attention to the implementation of security-related laws, human rights concerns and the role of the judiciary. It discusses relevant legal provisions, constitutional safeguards and judicial decisions to understand the legal challenges associated with counter-insurgency measures. The paper also considers issues relating to fair legal procedures, access to justice and accountability of public authorities.

The study is based on a review of legislation, judicial decisions and official government publications. It aims to explain the existing legal framework in simple academic language and identify areas requiring further examination. The paper emphasises that an effective response to Naxalism requires not only appropriate security measures but also lawful implementation, judicial oversight and respect for constitutional principles. It further highlights the need for reliable evidence to assess how legal provisions operate in practice in affected areas of Chhattisgarh.

Keywords: Naxalism, Chhattisgarh, Legal Framework, Human Rights, Constitutional Safeguards, Rule of Law, Access to Justice.

Innovation and Integrative Research Center Journal
ISSN: 2584-1491 | www.iircj.org
Volume-4 | Issue-10 | October-2026 | Page 14-34

1. Introduction

Naxalism, commonly referred to as Left Wing Extremism (LWE) in official government documents, has created significant challenges for law enforcement and public administration in Chhattisgarh. Addressing this problem requires the state to maintain public order, investigate unlawful activities and protect citizens from violence. At the same time, government authorities must exercise their powers in accordance with the Constitution and applicable laws.

The legal response to Naxalism is not limited to security operations. It also involves questions relating to arrest, investigation, prosecution, fair trial and access to justice. These matters are important because the effectiveness of law enforcement depends not only on the powers available to authorities but also on the lawful and fair use of those powers. The protection of constitutional rights remains necessary even when the state faces serious security challenges.

India has enacted specific laws to address threats to national security. The Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967, is one such law. Its provisions deal with specified unlawful activities and terrorist activities. The application of this legislation must be understood alongside constitutional protections and the legal procedures governing criminal investigations and trials [1].

Judicial decisions have also played an important role in examining the limits of state action in areas affected by Naxalism. In Nandini Sundar and Others v. State of Chhattisgarh (2011), the Supreme Court considered issues relating to counter-insurgency measures, Special Police Officers and constitutional rights [5]. The judgment provides an important legal reference for understanding the relationship between security measures and constitutional obligations.

2. Review of Literature

The legal response to Naxalism in Chhattisgarh has been discussed through different areas of law, including national security legislation, criminal justice, constitutional rights and access to justice. These areas are closely related because the government must address unlawful activities while ensuring that legal powers are exercised according to established procedures. The existing literature and legal documents provide a basis for understanding these issues. However, legislation, judicial decisions and academic studies must be examined separately because each provides a different type of evidence.

ISSN: 2584-1491 | www.iircj.org
Volume-4 | Issue-10 | October-2026 | Page 14-34

2.1 Legal Framework and National Security

The Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 (UAPA) is an important law in India's legal framework for addressing certain unlawful activities and terrorist activities. The Act contains provisions relating to unlawful associations, offences, penalties and terrorist organisations [1]. It is therefore relevant to research on the legal response to activities associated with Left Wing Extremism.

The Act should be examined through its specific provisions rather than treated as a general law covering every activity associated with Naxalism. The legal classification of an offence depends on the facts of the case and the applicable statutory provisions. The registration of a case or the filing of a charge does not, by itself, establish the guilt of the accused. This distinction is important when examining the use of security-related laws in conflict-affected areas.

The National Investigation Agency Act, 2008, provides another relevant part of the legal framework. It establishes the National Investigation Agency and provides for the investigation and prosecution of specified scheduled offences. It also contains provisions relating to Special Courts [2]. The relationship between the NIA Act and the UAPA is important because certain offences under the UAPA fall within the scheduled-offence framework. Their application must be understood in accordance with the relevant statutory provisions.

These laws establish the powers and procedures available to the authorities, but they do not independently demonstrate how effectively those powers are exercised in Chhattisgarh. For that purpose, legal research must also examine court records, judgments and other reliable evidence relating to actual cases [1], [2].

2.2 Judicial Decisions and Constitutional Safeguards

Judicial decisions provide an important source for understanding the limits of state power in areas affected by Naxalism. One significant case is Nandini Sundar and Others v. State of Chhattisgarh, decided by the Supreme Court of India in 2011 [5]. The case concerned counter-insurgency measures in Chhattisgarh, including the use of Special Police Officers, and raised questions about constitutional rights and the responsibilities of the state.

ISSN: 2584-1491 | www.iircj.org
Volume-4 | Issue-10 | October-2026 | Page 14-34

The judgment is relevant because it demonstrates that security-related measures remain subject to constitutional scrutiny. Government authorities have a responsibility to maintain public order, but their actions must also comply with constitutional requirements. The case provides a legal basis for examining the relationship between security operations, state accountability and the protection of individual rights [5].

Nandini Sundar's study of the rule of law and citizenship in central India adds a broader socio-legal perspective. It argues that citizenship struggles shape how the rule of law is understood and experienced in post-colonial settings, making it relevant to the relationship between state authority, legal rights and communities in central India [13].

The judgment should not, however, be treated as proof that every security operation in Chhattisgarh has violated constitutional rights. Its significance lies in the legal questions considered and the findings made by the Court. Other allegations and incidents must be examined separately through the relevant evidence and legal proceedings.

The Supreme Court's decision in People's Union for Civil Liberties v. State of Maharashtra (2014) provides another relevant judicial reference. The Court laid down safeguards concerning the investigation of police encounter deaths [10]. Although the case did not specifically concern Naxalism in Chhattisgarh, it is relevant to the wider legal discussion of accountability, investigation and the protection of life during police operations.

These decisions show why judicial review is an important part of the legal framework. Courts can examine whether state action complies with constitutional and legal requirements. Their decisions also provide guidance for assessing accountability in cases involving the use of force [5], [10].

2.3 Special Courts and Procedural Justice

Shikha Pandey's article, Anti-Terrorism Courts and Procedural (In)Justice: The Case of the National Investigation Agency (NIA) Special Courts in South Chhattisgarh, India, published in Socio-Legal Review in 2020, directly examines legal procedures in the Bastar region [3].

The study discusses the legal provisions governing Special Courts under the NIA Act, particularly Section 22, and examines disputes concerning the designation of these courts by state governments. It uses research from Bastar to discuss the classification of offences

associated with Left Wing Extremism and the implications for procedural rights of people from indigenous communities [3].

This research is especially relevant because it focuses on the practical operation of legal institutions in a region affected by conflict. It considers not only the wording of the law but also how the classification of offences and the functioning of Special Courts may affect the legal process. The article therefore provides a useful academic perspective on the relationship between statutory provisions and procedural justice.

Sundar's book The Burning Forest: India's War in Bastar provides a broader account of the conflict and its effects on people living in Bastar, including questions concerning human rights, state action and justice. It complements the narrower procedural focus of Pandey's study [14].

At the same time, the study's findings must be understood within its stated scope and methodology. Its conclusions should not automatically be extended to every court or criminal case in Chhattisgarh. Further studies using additional court records and judgments could help determine whether similar issues arise in other districts [3], [2].

The National Investigation Agency Act is therefore important not only because it establishes investigative and judicial arrangements but also because its provisions must be interpreted and applied clearly. The designation of Special Courts, the legal classification of offences and the procedures followed during trial are relevant areas for further legal examination [3], [2].

2.4 Criminal Procedure and Fair Trial

Criminal procedure is another important area in the study of legal responses to Naxalism. The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS), which came into force on 1 July 2024, provides the general framework for criminal procedure in India, subject to applicable special laws [6]. It is relevant to the study of investigation, arrest, prosecution and trial.

The legal process requires that criminal cases be handled according to the applicable law. Investigating authorities must follow the prescribed procedures, while courts must examine the evidence and determine the legal questions before them. The rights of accused persons and the requirements of a fair trial remain important considerations in criminal proceedings. The Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023 provides the general legal framework concerning evidence in judicial proceedings [7]. Its relevance to cases involving allegations of Naxal-

ISSN: 2584-1491 | www.iircj.org
Volume-4 | Issue-10 | October-2026 | Page 14-34

related offences lies in the legal standards governing the consideration of evidence. The evidence in an individual case must be evaluated according to the applicable law; an allegation alone cannot establish criminal responsibility.

These laws are relevant to understanding the criminal justice process, but their existence does not prove that procedural difficulties occur in every case. To assess implementation in Chhattisgarh, further research would need to examine actual case records, court orders and relevant judgments [6], [7].

2.5 Human Rights and Accountability

Human rights are an important part of the legal discussion concerning counter-insurgency measures. The protection of life, personal liberty and lawful treatment must be considered alongside the state's responsibility to address violence. These principles require that government authorities act within the limits of the law.

The National Human Rights Commission (NHRC) has issued guidelines concerning deaths in custody and deaths during police action [8]. Such guidance is relevant to the discussion of investigation, reporting and accountability when deaths occur in circumstances involving state authorities. These procedures help establish the importance of examining such incidents through appropriate legal and administrative processes.

The Supreme Court's decision in People's Union for Civil Liberties v. State of Maharashtra also provides guidance on the investigation of police encounter deaths [10]. The judgment is relevant to the broader principle that deaths resulting from police action must be examined through appropriate procedures rather than accepted without scrutiny.However, it is important to distinguish between a human rights concern and a legally established violation. A reported allegation may require investigation, while a judicial finding must be understood in the context of the particular case. Reliable research should therefore use court judgments, official inquiry records and other verifiable sources rather than general claims about the conduct of law enforcement agencies [5], [8], [10].

2.6 Access to Justice and Legal Aid

Access to justice is another important consideration in regions affected by Naxalism. The ability to understand legal proceedings, obtain legal representation and approach the appropriate judicial authority is relevant to the fair functioning of the criminal justice system.

Innovation and Integrative Research Center Journal
ISSN: 2584-1491 | www.iircj.org
Volume-4 | Issue-10 | October-2026 | Page 14-34

The Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987, provides a statutory framework for legal services to eligible persons and seeks to ensure that economic or other disabilities do not prevent access to justice [9]. The Act establishes legal services authorities at different levels and provides for free and competent legal services to eligible sections of society.

This law is relevant to the study of Naxalism because access to legal assistance may be an important issue in criminal proceedings in remote areas. However, the statutory provision alone cannot establish whether legal aid is sufficiently available or effective in particular districts of Chhattisgarh. That question requires evidence concerning legal aid services, case records, access to lawyers and the experiences of people involved in the legal process [9].

Research on legal aid in affected areas could therefore examine whether eligible persons are able to obtain legal representation, understand the charges against them and participate meaningfully in proceedings. Such research should use verified information and should avoid assuming that every person in an affected area faces the same difficulties.

2.7 Tribal Rights and the Legal Context of Chhattisgarh

The legal context of Naxalism in Chhattisgarh also needs to be understood in relation to the rights of tribal and forest-dwelling communities. The Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006, commonly known as the Forest Rights Act (FRA), recognises specified individual and community rights over forest resources [11].

In Subalterns and Sovereigns, Sundar examines the history of Bastar and the relationship between Adivasi communities and state authority. This historical perspective helps situate contemporary legal questions within the longer history of governance in the region [16].

Sundar's article on the emotional dimensions of the conflict examines how the state and other actors construct difference and seek legitimacy during the conflict. This work offers useful social context, although it is not a substitute for legal authority or case-specific evidence [15].

The Act is relevant to the wider legal context because forest rights, access to resources and the relationship between communities and public authorities are important subjects of law and governance in forest areas. However, the Forest Rights Act is not a law specifically designed to address Naxalism. Its relevance to this paper lies in the broader question of legal rights and their implementation in affected regions.

ISSN: 2584-1491 | www.iircj.org
Volume-4 | Issue-10 | October-2026 | Page 14-34

A study of the legal response to Naxalism should therefore avoid treating tribal communities as a single group or assuming that their legal and social circumstances are identical. Research should distinguish between security-related legal issues and the separate rights recognised under legislation. Where a connection between the two is proposed, it must be supported by evidence [11].

The Ministry of Home Affairs also describes the government's approach to Left Wing Extremism through security measures and development initiatives [12]. Considered alongside the Forest Rights Act and the law relating to legal aid, this policy context shows that the legal environment in affected areas involves several different areas of public law and administration [12], [9], [11].

2.8 Research Gap

The literature and legal materials reviewed in this section provide several perspectives on the legal response to Naxalism. The UAPA and the NIA Act establish relevant statutory provisions; Supreme Court judgments explain important constitutional and procedural safeguards; and academic research examines the functioning of Special Courts in South Chhattisgarh [1]–[5].

Other legal sources address criminal procedure, evidence, human rights accountability, legal aid and forest rights [6]–[11]. Together, these sources provide a broad legal foundation for studying the subject. However, they do not all provide direct empirical evidence about the implementation of laws in Chhattisgarh.

An important area for further research is the practical application of legal safeguards in individual cases. This requires examining verified court records, judgments, official inquiry documents and information about legal aid services. Such evidence would help distinguish between the legal rules that exist and the way those rules operate in practice.

3. Legal Framework to Address Naxalism

The legal response to Naxalism in Chhattisgarh operates through several laws dealing with national security, criminal investigation, evidence and constitutional rights. These laws provide powers to the authorities while also establishing procedures and safeguards that must be followed. Understanding this framework is necessary to examine how security-related cases are investigated and decided by courts.

Innovation and Integrative Research Center Journal
ISSN: 2584-1491 | www.iircj.org
Volume-4 | Issue-10 | October-2026 | Page 14-34

3.1 The Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967

The Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 (UAPA), is an important law relating to unlawful activities and terrorist activities in India [1]. It contains provisions concerning unlawful associations, terrorist organisations, offences and penalties. The Act also establishes procedures relevant to the investigation and prosecution of specified offences.

In cases associated with Left Wing Extremism, the applicability of the UAPA depends on the relevant facts and statutory provisions. The mere description of an incident as Naxal-related does not automatically establish that every provision of the Act applies. The legal classification must be based on the conduct alleged and the requirements of the applicable law.

The Act must also be read alongside the Constitution and other applicable procedural laws. The exercise of statutory powers does not remove the need to follow legal procedures or to establish criminal responsibility through the judicial process. Therefore, the UAPA is relevant not only for understanding the powers available to the state but also for examining the legal requirements governing their use [1].

3.2 The National Investigation Agency Act, 2008

The National Investigation Agency Act, 2008, establishes the National Investigation Agency and provides a framework for investigating and prosecuting specified scheduled offences [2]. The Act also provides for the establishment or designation of Special Courts to conduct trials of cases covered by its provisions.

The role of the NIA depends on the statutory requirements governing scheduled offences and the circumstances in which the Agency is authorised to investigate a case. It should not be assumed that every case related to Naxalism in Chhattisgarh is investigated by the NIA. The investigating authority and the applicable legal procedure depend on the particular case.

Special Courts are relevant because they provide a judicial mechanism for trying cases covered by the applicable legislation. Their functioning must be examined with reference to the legal provisions governing their jurisdiction, the evidence presented before them and the procedural rights of the parties. Research on Special Courts in South Chhattisgarh has highlighted the importance of examining the practical relationship between statutory arrangements and procedural justice [3].

Innovation and Integrative Research Center Journal
ISSN: 2584-1491 | www.iircj.org
Volume-4 | Issue-10 | October-2026 | Page 14-34

3.3 Constitutional Safeguards

The Constitution of India establishes fundamental rights that are relevant to criminal investigation and the exercise of state power. Article 14 guarantees equality before the law and equal protection of the laws. Article 21 protects life and personal liberty, subject to the constitutional and legal framework governing their deprivation [4].

These provisions are important when examining security measures in areas affected by Naxalism. Law enforcement agencies must operate within the law, and persons affected by criminal proceedings remain entitled to applicable constitutional protections. Security considerations do not, by themselves, remove the requirement for lawful state action.

The Supreme Court's judgment in Nandini Sundar and Others v. State of Chhattisgarh is particularly relevant to this discussion [5]. The case concerned counter-insurgency measures and the use of Special Police Officers. The judgment provides an important example of judicial scrutiny of state action in the context of internal security.

However, the constitutional principles discussed in the judgment must be applied according to the facts and legal questions of each case. The decision should not be treated as proof that every counter-insurgency operation violates fundamental rights. Its relevance lies in demonstrating that security measures remain subject to constitutional review [5].

3.4 Criminal Procedure and Evidence

Criminal proceedings in India are governed by the applicable procedural and evidentiary laws. The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, provides the general framework for criminal procedure, subject to applicable special laws [6]. The Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023, establishes the general legal framework governing evidence in judicial proceedings [7]. Both laws came into force on 1 July 2024.

These laws are relevant to the investigation and trial of criminal cases because they regulate procedural matters and the consideration of evidence. Their application in a particular case depends on the applicable legal provisions, including any relevant transitional rules or special statutory requirements.

A proper legal assessment must distinguish between an allegation, evidence presented during proceedings and a finding made by a court. The registration of a case or filing of a charge does

ISSN: 2584-1491 | www.iircj.org
Volume-4 | Issue-10 | October-2026 | Page 14-34

not establish guilt. Criminal responsibility must be determined through the applicable judicial process.

3.5 Human Rights and Legal Accountability

Human rights and accountability are important considerations when examining the use of state power in security-related operations. Relevant questions include the legality of arrest, treatment in custody, the investigation of deaths during police action and the availability of legal remedies.

The National Human Rights Commission has issued guidelines concerning deaths in custody and deaths during police action [8]. These guidelines are relevant to the procedures for reporting, examining and investigating such incidents. Their application must be understood in accordance with the relevant legal requirements and the facts of the individual case.

The Supreme Court's judgment in People's Union for Civil Liberties v. State of Maharashtra also provides guidance concerning the investigation of police encounter deaths [10]. Although the case did not specifically concern Naxalism in Chhattisgarh, it is relevant to the wider legal framework governing police accountability.

The existence of guidelines or judicial safeguards does not establish whether they have been followed in every incident. That question requires examination of relevant inquiry reports, court records and other verified evidence. This distinction is essential for maintaining accuracy in legal research.

3.6 Legal Aid and Access to Justice

The Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987, provides the statutory framework for legal services to eligible persons [9]. It seeks to support access to justice by providing free legal services to persons who meet the applicable eligibility requirements.

Access to legal representation is relevant to criminal proceedings in all regions, including areas affected by Naxalism. It can be examined through the availability of legal aid services, the provision of representation and the ability of eligible persons to participate meaningfully in legal proceedings.

However, the existence of the Act alone does not establish whether legal aid is sufficient or effective in particular districts of Chhattisgarh. A reliable assessment would require district-

ISSN: 2584-1491 | www.iircj.org
Volume-4 | Issue-10 | October-2026 | Page 14-34

level information from legal services authorities, verified case records and other relevant evidence.

3.7 Relevance of the Legal Framework to Chhattisgarh

The legal framework relating to Naxalism includes several connected but distinct areas of law. The UAPA addresses specified unlawful and terrorist activities; the NIA Act governs the Agency's role and related Special Court arrangements; constitutional provisions regulate the exercise of state power; and criminal procedure and evidentiary laws govern relevant aspects of investigation and trial [1], [2], [4], [6], [7].

Human rights guidelines and legal aid legislation provide additional context for examining accountability and access to justice [8], [9]. Together, these sources establish a legal basis for assessing how security-related powers should be exercised and what safeguards apply.

Nevertheless, identifying the applicable laws is only the first step. Assessing implementation in Chhattisgarh requires examination of actual cases, judicial decisions and verified administrative records. The legal framework defines the rules, but evidence from practice is necessary to determine how those rules operate in particular circumstances.

4. Implementation Challenges and Human Rights Concerns

The legal framework relating to Naxalism provides powers to investigate unlawful activities, prosecute offences and maintain public order. However, the effectiveness of this framework also depends on its implementation. Laws must be applied according to their provisions, and the rights of individuals must be protected throughout the legal process. In Chhattisgarh, these questions are important for understanding the relationship between security operations, criminal justice and constitutional safeguards.

4.1 Implementation of Security-Related Laws

One important challenge is ensuring that security-related laws are applied according to their specific legal requirements. The Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967, contains provisions dealing with specified unlawful activities and terrorist activities [1]. The application of these provisions requires the authorities to establish the relevant legal grounds and follow the procedures prescribed by law.

The registration of a criminal case does not establish the guilt of the accused. The allegations must be examined through the applicable legal process, and the court must assess the evidence presented before it. This distinction is important in cases involving national security because the seriousness of an allegation does not remove the requirement for lawful procedures.

The National Investigation Agency Act, 2008, also establishes a framework for investigating and prosecuting specified scheduled offences [2]. However, not every case associated with Naxalism necessarily falls within the jurisdiction of the National Investigation Agency. The competent investigating authority depends on the applicable law and the circumstances of the particular case.

Therefore, the implementation of security-related laws should be assessed through verified case records, judicial decisions and official information. Such evidence can help establish how legal provisions are applied without making unsupported generalisations about law enforcement agencies.

4.2 Arrest, Investigation and Fair Trial

Arrest and investigation are important stages in criminal proceedings. The applicable law establishes the conditions and procedures that authorities must follow when exercising these powers. The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, provides the general framework for criminal procedure, subject to applicable special laws and relevant legal requirements [6].

A fair criminal process requires that cases be investigated according to law and that accused persons receive the procedural protections available to them. Courts must consider the evidence and applicable legal provisions when deciding a case. The filing of a charge or the commencement of proceedings should not be treated as proof of guilt.

The Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023, provides the general framework governing evidence in judicial proceedings [7]. Its provisions are relevant to the assessment of evidence presented during criminal trials. The reliability and legal admissibility of evidence must be considered according to the applicable law.

In the context of Naxalism, the important research question is whether these procedures are followed in individual cases. This cannot be determined from the text of legislation alone. It requires examination of court orders, case records and other reliable material. A systematic

ISSN: 2584-1491 | www.iircj.org
Volume-4 | Issue-10 | October-2026 | Page 14-34

review of such documents would help identify procedural issues without assuming that they occur in every case.

4.3 Human Rights and Constitutional Protection

Human rights concerns form an important part of the legal debate surrounding counter-insurgency measures. The state has a responsibility to protect people from violence, but it must also respect constitutional protections while exercising its powers. Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution are particularly relevant because they concern equality before the law and the protection of life and personal liberty [4].

The Supreme Court's judgment in Nandini Sundar and Others v. State of Chhattisgarh provides an important example of judicial examination of counter-insurgency measures in the state [5]. The case concerned the use of Special Police Officers and raised constitutional questions about the state's approach to internal security.

The judgment is relevant to the principle that security-related action remains subject to constitutional requirements. However, it should be used only to support propositions established by the Court. It does not establish that every security operation or every action by a public authority in Chhattisgarh has violated human rights.

Human rights analysis must distinguish between allegations, matters under investigation and findings established through an appropriate legal process. This distinction helps ensure that the research remains balanced and legally accurate.

4.4 Accountability During Police Operations

Accountability is another important issue in the implementation of security-related laws. When a death occurs during police action or in custody, the circumstances must be examined through the applicable legal procedures. The National Human Rights Commission has issued guidelines relating to deaths in custody and deaths during police action [8].

The Supreme Court's decision in People's Union for Civil Liberties v. State of Maharashtra provides further guidance on the investigation of police encounter deaths [10]. Although this case did not specifically concern Naxalism in Chhattisgarh, its legal principles are relevant to the broader discussion of accountability in police operations.

These sources demonstrate the importance of examining incidents through appropriate procedures rather than relying only on initial reports or competing claims. The existence of guidelines, however, does not establish whether they were followed in a particular incident. That conclusion requires examination of the relevant inquiry reports, court records and other verified evidence.

4.5 Access to Legal Aid and Justice

Access to legal assistance is an important part of the criminal justice system. The Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987, provides for free legal services to eligible persons and establishes the legal framework for legal services authorities [9].

In areas affected by prolonged violence, the availability and accessibility of legal services are relevant subjects for research. Questions may include whether eligible persons receive legal representation, whether they can participate effectively in proceedings and whether legal services are accessible in remote locations.

These questions should not be answered through assumptions about local communities. A reliable assessment requires information from legal services authorities, relevant court records and other verified sources. The existence of a statutory entitlement does not, by itself, establish how effectively the service is delivered in a particular district.

4.6 Challenges in Evaluating Implementation

A central difficulty in evaluating the legal response to Naxalism is distinguishing between the legal framework and its actual operation. Legislation explains the powers and procedures established by law, while judicial decisions clarify legal principles in particular disputes. Neither source automatically provides a complete picture of implementation across all affected districts.

For example, the UAPA and the NIA Act establish relevant legal provisions, but an assessment of their practical application requires information about actual cases, investigation procedures and judicial outcomes [1], [2]. Similarly, human rights guidelines establish relevant procedures, but their implementation must be evaluated using records relating to particular incidents [8], [10].

A systematic study could examine a defined sample of judgments, the time taken to resolve cases, the availability of legal representation and the outcomes of relevant proceedings. Any numerical findings should be reported only when they are supported by a clearly defined dataset and a transparent method of analysis.

Such an approach would help identify specific implementation challenges without making unsupported claims about the entire criminal justice system in Chhattisgarh.

4.7 Overall Assessment

The legal response to Naxalism involves the combined operation of security legislation, criminal procedure, constitutional protections and accountability mechanisms. Each part serves a different purpose, but all must operate within the applicable legal framework.

The main challenge is not simply whether laws exist, but whether their provisions are applied lawfully and whether the safeguards available to individuals operate effectively in practice. Answering this question requires reliable evidence from court records, official reports and, where appropriate, field research.

Accordingly, the legal framework should be assessed through both the powers granted to the state and the safeguards that regulate those powers. This approach provides a sound basis for examining implementation challenges and human rights concerns in Chhattisgarh without treating unverified allegations as established facts.

5. Judicial Role and Constitutional Safeguards

The judiciary plays an important role in ensuring that government authorities exercise their powers according to the Constitution and applicable laws. In matters relating to Naxalism, judicial oversight is relevant because security operations, criminal investigations and prosecutions must comply with legal requirements. Courts examine disputes brought before them and determine whether the actions under challenge are consistent with the law.

5.1 Protection of Fundamental Rights

The Constitution of India provides important safeguards for individuals affected by state action. Article 14 guarantees equality before the law and equal protection of the laws, while Article 21 protects life and personal liberty [4]. These provisions are relevant to the exercise of police powers, criminal investigation and judicial proceedings.

ISSN: 2584-1491 | www.iircj.org
Volume-4 | Issue-10 | October-2026 | Page 14-34

The protection of fundamental rights does not prevent the state from taking lawful action against violence or criminal activity. Rather, it requires public authorities to exercise their powers within the constitutional framework. The legality of particular actions must be determined with reference to the applicable provisions and the facts of the case.

In areas affected by Naxalism, this principle is important because security-related decisions may involve the use of coercive powers. Such powers must be exercised according to law, and allegations of unlawful action must be examined through appropriate legal procedures.

5.2 Judicial Review of Counter-Insurgency Measures

The Supreme Court's decision in Nandini Sundar and Others v. State of Chhattisgarh is an important legal reference for examining counter-insurgency measures in the state [5]. The case concerned the use of Special Police Officers and raised questions about constitutional protections and the responsibilities of the state.

The judgment illustrates the role of judicial review in examining security-related state action. It demonstrates that measures adopted to address internal security challenges remain subject to constitutional requirements. Government authorities cannot treat security considerations as a substitute for compliance with the law.

The case must, however, be understood within the scope of the issues decided by the Court. It should not be used to draw conclusions about incidents or legal questions that were not established in the proceedings. Its value for this research lies in the constitutional principles and judicial scrutiny relevant to the legal response to Naxalism.

5.3 Accountability in Police Operations

Accountability is essential to the lawful exercise of police powers. Where a death occurs during police action or in custody, the circumstances should be examined through the applicable procedures. The National Human Rights Commission has issued guidelines relating to custodial deaths and deaths during police action [8].

The Supreme Court's decision in People's Union for Civil Liberties v. State of Maharashtra also provides guidance concerning the investigation of police encounter deaths [10]. The judgment

ISSN: 2584-1491 | www.iircj.org
Volume-4 | Issue-10 | October-2026 | Page 14-34

is relevant to the broader principle that such incidents require appropriate investigation and accountability.

These sources provide a legal basis for examining the procedures applicable to deaths associated with police operations. Whether those procedures were followed in a particular incident must be determined through relevant inquiry reports, court records and other reliable evidence.

5.4 Fair Trial and Access to Justice

The judiciary also plays an important role in protecting procedural fairness. Courts must examine cases according to the applicable law and evidence. The rights available to accused persons, including the relevant procedural safeguards, remain important throughout criminal proceedings.

The Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987, provides a statutory framework for free legal services to eligible persons [9]. Legal aid can help eligible individuals obtain legal representation and participate in judicial proceedings. However, the actual availability and effectiveness of legal aid in particular districts require separate evidence.

In the context of Chhattisgarh, the operation of Special Courts and the availability of legal representation are relevant areas for further examination. Research on NIA Special Courts in South Chhattisgarh has discussed questions concerning procedural justice and the legal treatment of cases associated with Left Wing Extremism [3].

5.5 Importance of Constitutional Safeguards

The judicial role in matters relating to Naxalism is not limited to deciding individual criminal cases. Courts also interpret legal provisions and examine challenges to state action within their jurisdiction. Their decisions help clarify the relationship between security requirements, statutory powers and constitutional protections.

However, a careful academic assessment must distinguish between legal principles established by a court and the practical implementation of those principles. A judgment may establish a legal standard, but determining whether that standard has been followed in other cases requires separate evidence.

The judicial framework therefore provides an important foundation for evaluating the legality of security-related measures in Chhattisgarh. Further examination of judgments, case records and official inquiry reports would help identify the practical challenges involved in implementing constitutional safeguards.

6. Conclusion

Naxalism in Chhattisgarh presents important challenges relating to national security, law enforcement and the protection of constitutional rights. The legal framework developed by India provides powers to address unlawful activities and specified terrorist offences. At the same time, these powers must be exercised according to the Constitution and applicable legal procedures.

This paper examined the legal framework relating to Naxalism, including the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967, the National Investigation Agency Act, 2008, and the laws governing criminal procedure and evidence. It also discussed the role of constitutional safeguards, judicial review and legal aid in the criminal justice system. These legal provisions and institutions serve different purposes, but each is relevant to ensuring that security-related action remains subject to the rule of law.

The judgment in Nandini Sundar and Others v. State of Chhattisgarh illustrates the importance of judicial scrutiny of counter-insurgency measures. Similarly, legal provisions concerning criminal procedure, accountability and access to legal assistance provide a basis for examining how the rights of individuals are protected during legal proceedings.

However, the existence of laws and safeguards does not, by itself, establish how effectively they operate in practice. Assessing their implementation in Chhattisgarh requires reliable evidence from court judgments, case records, official inquiry reports and information from legal services authorities. Such evidence is necessary to identify specific implementation challenges and distinguish established findings from allegations or general concerns.

The study concludes that an effective legal response to Naxalism requires both lawful security measures and respect for constitutional principles. Clear procedures, judicial oversight, accountability and access to justice are important elements of this approach. Further research based on verified case records and systematic empirical evidence would help develop a more

ISSN: 2584-1491 | www.iircj.org
Volume-4 | Issue-10 | October-2026 | Page 14-34

detailed understanding of the implementation of security-related laws and the protection of human rights in Chhattisgarh.

REFERENCES

[1] Government of India. The Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967, Act No. 37 of 1967. India Code. https://www.indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/1470

[2] Government of India. The National Investigation Agency Act, 2008, Act No. 34 of 2008. India Code. https://www.indiacode.nic.in/indiacode/handle/123456789/2054

[3] Pandey, Shikha. (2020). "Anti-Terrorism Courts and Procedural (In)Justice: The Case of the National Investigation Agency (NIA) Special Courts in South Chhattisgarh, India." Socio-Legal Review, 16(1), 109. https://doi.org/10.55496/CPJY1470

[4] Government of India. The Constitution of India, Articles 14 and 21. Legislative Department. https://legislative.gov.in/constitution-of-india/

[5] Supreme Court of India. Nandini Sundar and Others v. State of Chhattisgarh, Writ Petition (Civil) No. 250 of 2007, judgment dated 5 July 2011, (2011) 7 SCC 547. https://indiankanoon.org/doc/920448/

[6] Government of India. The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, Act No. 46 of 2023. India Code. https://www.indiacode.nic.in/indiacode/handle/123456789/20099

[7] Government of India. The Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023, Act No. 47 of 2023. India Code. https://www.indiacode.nic.in/indiacode/handle/123456789/20063

[8] National Human Rights Commission, India. "Guidelines — Death in Custody/Encounter etc." https://nhrc.nic.in/acts-and-rules/guidelines

[9] Government of India. The Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987, Act No. 39 of 1987. India Code. https://www.indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/12883

[10] Supreme Court of India. People's Union for Civil Liberties v. State of Maharashtra, judgment dated 23 September 2014. https://indiankanoon.org/doc/10911997/

[11] Government of India. The Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006, Act No. 2 of 2007. Ministry of Tribal Affairs. https://tribal.nic.in/FRA.aspx

[12] Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India. "Left Wing Extremism Division." https://www.mha.gov.in/en/divisionofmha/left-wing-extremism-division

ISSN: 2584-1491 | www.iircj.org
Volume-4 | Issue-10 | October-2026 | Page 14-34

[13] Sundar, Nandini. (2011). “The Rule of Law and Citizenship in Central India: Post-colonial Dilemmas.” Citizenship Studies, 15(3–4), 419–432. https://doi.org/10.1080/13621025.2011.564804

[14] Sundar, Nandini. (2016). The Burning Forest: India’s War in Bastar. New Delhi: Juggernaut Books.

[15] Sundar, Nandini. (2012). “‘Winning Hearts and Minds’: Emotional Wars and the Construction of Difference.” Third World Quarterly, 33(4), 705–720. https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2012.657428

[16] Sundar, Nandini. (2007). Subalterns and Sovereigns: An Anthropological History of Bastar, 1854–1996 (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.