

Fortifying Global Health Security: G-20 Leadership in Combating Biowarfare Through Forensic Science and Pandemic Resilience Strategies

¹Vaishali Sinha, ²Amrita Vijayan

^{1,2}Assistant Professor, Kalinga University, Chhattisgarh

Abstract

The global health landscape is increasingly threatened by emerging infectious diseases and the deliberate misuse of biological agents. In this context, the Group of Twenty (G-20) holds a unique position to orchestrate a global response that transcends traditional health policy. This article explores the evolving nexus between global health security, biowarfare threats, and the integration of forensic science. It underscores the critical need for G-20 nations to spearhead resilient health systems, prioritize pandemic preparedness, and adopt advanced forensic methodologies for early threat detection and attribution. With multidisciplinary approaches and coordinated leadership, G-20 countries can significantly reduce vulnerabilities and enhance biothreat mitigation strategies.

Keywords: G-20, forensic science, biowarfare, pandemic response, global health security, health system resilience, biosurveillance

1. Reframing G-20's Global Health Mandate in the Post-Pandemic Era

Initially established for macroeconomic coordination, the G-20 has evolved into a platform addressing multifaceted global crises, including public health emergencies. The COVID-19 pandemic showcased the vulnerabilities in global preparedness and presented the G-20 with a moral and strategic obligation to expand its role beyond economic recovery to health governance. By facilitating multilateral frameworks, funding mechanisms, and scientific cooperation, the G-20 is poised to influence global health security architectures meaningfully.

2. Biowarfare as a Growing Threat to Global Stability

Biowarfare the intentional release of viruses, bacteria, or toxins, represents a unique intersection of public health and international security. Unlike naturally emerging diseases, biowarfare attacks are strategic, clandestine, and often politically motivated. This section outlines the characteristics of potential biowarfare agents, historical events such as the anthrax attacks post-9/11, and the modern-day threat posed by gene editing technologies and synthetic biology. The growing accessibility of biotechnological tools intensifies the urgency to enhance detection and attribution capabilities.

3. Forensic Science: A Cornerstone of Biosecurity and Threat Attribution

Forensic science, traditionally associated with criminal investigations, is pivotal in identifying the source, nature, and origin of biological threats. Techniques like genomic sequencing, bioinformatics, isotopic analysis, and trace evidence examination are essential for early detection and attribution of bioterrorism events. This section elaborates on how forensic methods support outbreak investigations, distinguish natural from engineered pathogens, and provide admissible evidence for international accountability under the Biological Weapons Convention (BWC).

4. Building Resilient Health Systems with Forensic Readiness

Health systems must be equipped not only to treat patients but also to support rapid biological forensics. This involves cross-sector training, forensic lab infrastructure, surveillance-data integration, and response simulation exercises. Public health and forensic communities must coordinate to build pathogen signature databases, train field epidemiologists in bio-forensics, and harmonize bio-incident response protocols across nations.

5. Strategies for G-20 to Promote Forensic Science Integration in Global Health

G-20 nations, by virtue of their scientific and economic capacities, can lead global efforts in embedding forensic science into pandemic preparedness. Key recommendations include:

- Establishing a Global Forensic Biosecurity Fund
- Supporting open-access biothreat detection databases
- Mandating forensic investigation protocols during any public health emergency
- Promoting interdisciplinary education in forensic epidemiology and biosafety
- Facilitating public-private partnerships for developing mobile forensic testing units

6. International Collaboration and Knowledge Sharing: The Role of the G-20

The G-20 can play a vital role in knowledge transfer by creating an international forensic science consortium for global health. This would facilitate standardization of detection methods, foster regional hubs for training and R&D, and establish diplomatic channels for forensic evidence sharing. Member states must commit to capacity-building in lower-income countries and promote transparency and trust through shared forensic platforms.

7. Lessons from COVID-19: Accelerating Proactive G-20 Policy Commitments

The COVID-19 pandemic exposed the fragility of reactive systems. It is imperative for the G-20 to institutionalize forensic science as part of an early-warning and response system. Drawing from successful country models like South Korea's digital contact tracing and Germany's lab network integration, G-20 policies must go beyond declarations and translate into enforceable frameworks. This section proposes a G-20 Global Biosecurity Charter to ensure unified standards in bioforensic readiness.

8. Conclusion

In the age of synthetic biology and geopolitical unrest, the risk of biowarfare cannot be ignored. By embedding forensic science into the core of health security frameworks, the G-20 can catalyze a transformation in global bio-preparedness. The time to act is now—by fostering resilient systems, advancing forensic technologies, and embracing a cooperative international stance, the G-20 can safeguard future generations from biological catastrophes.

References

- 1) Lal, A., Erondy, N., Heymann, D., Gitahi, G., & Yates, R. (2020). Fragmented health systems in COVID-19: rectifying the misalignment between global health security and universal health coverage. *Lancet (London, England)*, 397, 61 - 67. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)32228-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32228-5).
- 2) Annette, L. (2021). G20 Declaration on Health. *Impact*. <https://doi.org/10.21820/23987073.2021.8.67>.
- 3) French, A. (2019). Simulation and Modeling Applications in Global Health Security. *Global Health Security*, 307 - 340. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23491-1_13.
- 4) Boyd, M., Wilson, N., & Nelson, C. (2020). Validation analysis of Global Health Security Index (GHSI) scores 2019. *BMJ Global Health*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2020-003276>.
- 5) Sellwood, C., & Nguyen-Van-Tam, J. (2014). Disease Surveillance and Global Health Security. . <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118410868.WBEHIBS543>.
- 6) ParanjapeSuman, M., & FranzDavid, R. (2015). Implementing the Global Health Security Agenda: Lessons from Global Health and Security Programs. *Health security*, 13, 9-19. <https://doi.org/10.1089/HS.2014.0047>.
- 7) Paranjape, S., & Franz, D. (2015). Implementing the global health security agenda: lessons from global health and security programs.. *Health security*, 13 1, 9-19 .

8) Geerts, J., Kinnair, D., Taheri, P., Abraham, A., Ahn, J., Atun, R., Barberia, L., Best, N., Dandona, R., Dhahri, A., Emilsson, L., Free, J., Gardam, M., Geerts, W., Ihekweazu, C., Johnson, S., Kooijman, A., Lafontaine, A., Leshem, E., Lidstone-Jones, C., Loh, E., Lyons, O., Neel, K., Nyasulu, P., Razum, O., Sabourin, H., Taylor, J., Sharifi, H., Stergiopoulos, V., Sutton, B., Wu, Z., & Bilodeau, M. (2021). Guidance for Health Care Leaders During the Recovery Stage of the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Consensus Statement.. *JAMA network open*, 4 7, e2120295 . <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.20295>.

