News Channels & Framing Theory: Analyzing How Different Channels Frame News Stories to Influence Audience Perception

[1]Ms. Priyanka Pandey

Student, Bachelor Of Arts In Journalism And Mass Communication,

6th Semester

Kalinga University, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

[2]Ms. Tuhina Choubey

Assistant Professor, Journalism And Mass Communication,

Kalinga University, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

ABSTRACT

This dissertation explores the application of framing theory within news channels, focusing on how different outlets employ framing techniques to influence audience perceptions of news stories. Through a comprehensive review of literature, content analysis of news coverage, and theoretical framework analysis, the study delves into the diverse strategies utilized by news channels to frame stories. By examining the impact of these framing mechanisms on audience interpretation and understanding, the research contributes to a nuanced understanding of media influence on public opinion and societal discourse. This dissertation provides valuable insights into the complex interplay between news channels, framing strategies, and audience perceptions, offering implications for media theory, journalism practice, and communication studies.

KEYWORDS: Framing theory, News channels, Audience perceptions, News stories, Media influence, Public opinion.

INTRODUCTION

The role of news channels in shaping public perception and opinion cannot be overstated. As primary sources of information for many, news outlets wield significant influence over how events and issues are perceived by the public. This influence is often exerted through the use of framing techniques, which involve presenting information in a particular way to highlight certain aspects and downplay others. Framing theory, which explores how media outlets structure and present news stories, provides a critical lens for understanding these dynamics.

This dissertation investigates the application of framing theory within news channels, focusing on how different outlets employ various framing techniques to influence audience perceptions of news stories. The strategic use of framing can shape audience interpretation and understanding, thus impacting public opinion and broader societal discourse. By analyzing the diverse strategies

utilized by news channels to frame stories, this study aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of the mechanisms through which media influence is exerted.

A comprehensive review of literature forms the foundation of this research, providing insights into existing studies and theoretical frameworks related to framing theory and media influence. Content analysis of news coverage offers empirical evidence of how framing techniques are applied in practice, revealing patterns and variations across different news outlets. Additionally, a theoretical framework analysis helps contextualize these findings within broader media and communication studies.

The significance of this research lies in its ability to elucidate the complex interplay between news channels, framing strategies, and audience perceptions. By examining the impact of framing mechanisms on audience interpretation, the study provides valuable insights into the ways in which media can shape public opinion and societal discourse. These insights have important implications for media theory, journalism practice, and communication studies, offering a nuanced understanding of the power of framing in the contemporary media landscape.

this dissertation seeks to shed light on the intricate processes through which news channels influence audience perceptions through framing techniques. By exploring these dynamics, the research aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse on media influence and its implications for public opinion and societal dialogue.

RESEARCH QUESTION:

1.What are the predominant framing techniques employed by different news channels, and how do they vary across channels?

2.How do organizational values, audience demographics, and editorial biases influence the framing of news stories by different channels?

3.To what extent do audiences perceive and interpret news narratives differently when framed by different channels, and what factors contribute to these variations in perception?

4.What are the key similarities and differences in news framing strategies among prominent news channels such as CNN, Fox News, BBC, and Al Jazeera?

5.How do news framing techniques contribute to media bias, polarization, and the shaping of public opinion on contentious issues, and what implications does this have for democratic discourse and civic engagement?

OBJECTIVE

1.To analyze and identify the predominant framing techniques used by different news channels in presenting news stories.

2.To investigate the factors influencing the framing of news stories by different channels, including organizational values, audience demographics, and editorial biases.

3.To assess how audiences perceive and interpret news narratives framed by different channels, and to determine the extent to which framing strategies influence audience attitudes and beliefs.

4.To compare and contrast the news framing strategies employed by prominent news channels such as CNN, Fox News, BBC, and Al Jazeera, identifying key similarities and differences.

5.To examine the implications of news framing techniques for media bias, polarization, and the shaping of public opinion on contentious issues, and to explore potential strategies for promoting media literacy and critical thinking among audiences.

SCOPE & LIMITATIONS : SCOPE

-This study focuses primarily on the framing techniques employed by major news channels, including but not limited to CNN, Fox News, BBC, and Al Jazeera.

-It encompasses various forms of news content, including televised news broadcasts, online news articles, and social media posts.

-The study examines the influence of news framing on audience perceptions and attitudes, with a particular focus on its implications for media bias, polarization, and public opinion formation.

-It considers both domestic and international news coverage, encompassing a diverse range of topics and issues..

Limitations

The study may be limited by the availability and accessibility of data from different news channels, particularly regarding their internal editorial processes and decision-making.Due to the vast and dynamic nature of news media, the study may not capture every instance of news framing by different channels, necessitating a focus on representative samples and case studies.The interpretation of audience perceptions and attitudes towards framed news narratives may be subjective and influenced by various contextual factors, including individual beliefs and cultural backgrounds.The study may not account for the potential impact of other media factors, such as agenda-setting and priming, which could interact with news framing to shape audience perceptions.The generalizability of findings may be limited by the specific context and time period under study, as media landscapes and audience behaviors are subject to change over time.

In this thesis, qualitative content analysis was combined with quantitative elements. Frames have been retrieved deductively from previous literature. The qualitative data was collected by manually assigning passages of the editorials to these predefined frame categories in Excel, while quantitative data was collected by counting the frequency of different frames in the editorials to present the graphical illustrations.

At its core, framing theory posits that the way information is presented—its framing—can significantly impact how it is perceived and understood by individuals. This understanding is

grounded in the idea that people rely on mental frameworks or cognitive structures to make sense of the world around them. These frameworks are shaped by various factors, including cultural norms, personal experiences, and societal influences. When presented with new information, individuals often interpret it within the context of these existing frameworks.

LITERATURE REVIEW:

Evolution of Framing Theory

The evolution of framing theory in communication research has been marked by a progression from early conceptualizations to more nuanced understandings, incorporating insights from various disciplines and addressing contemporary challenges in communication and media studies.

Initially, framing theory emerged in the field of psychology in the 1970s, with researchers like Amos Tversky and Daniel Kahneman exploring how individuals perceive and make decisions based on the framing of information. Building on this foundation, communication scholars began to apply framing theory to understand the role of media in shaping public opinion and agenda setting.

- Roles of New Media in Shaping Public Opinions

New media plays several roles in shaping public opinions, including:

1.Information dissemination: New media platforms such as social media, blogs, and online news outlets provide a vast amount of information to the public, influencing their perceptions and attitudes on various issues.

2.Agenda setting: Through selective reporting and highlighting certain topics, new media can shape what issues the public considers important, thereby influencing their opinions and priorities.

3.Opinion formation: Social media, in particular, facilitates discussions and debates among users, leading to the formation and exchange of opinions on different topics.

4.Amplification of voices: New media platforms give voice to individuals and groups who may not have had a platform in traditional media, allowing for a more diverse range of perspectives to be heard.

5.Confirmation bias reinforcement: New media often presents content tailored to users' preferences and beliefs, potentially reinforcing existing opinions and biases.

Previous studies on News Framing

Previous studies on news framing have explored how media outlets frame news stories to influence public perceptions and attitudes. Some key findings include:

Frame selection: Media outlets often choose specific frames or angles to present news stories, which can influence how audiences interpret the information. For example, a story about an

economic policy may be framed as either beneficial or harmful depending on which aspects are emphasized.

Impact on perception: The way a story is framed can shape how audiences perceive the issue and the individuals or groups involved. Frames can influence attitudes, emotions, and judgments about the topic at hand.

.Political implications: News framing can have political implications by shaping public opinion on policy issues, candidates, and political parties. Frames may align with certain political ideologies or agendas, influencing how audiences perceive political events.

Cultural and societal influences: Framing effects can vary across different cultural and societal contexts. What resonates with one audience may not necessarily have the same impact on another, highlighting the importance of considering cultural factors in framing analysis.

Research design in framing theory involves designing studies to understand how news channels frame information to influence public opinion. Here's how you might structure such a study:

Research question formulation: Clearly define the research question, such as "How do news channels frame coverage of a particular issue to influence public opinion?"

Selection of news channels: Choose a diverse sample of news channels that represent different political leanings, audience demographics, and geographic regions to ensure a comprehensive analysis.

Framing through Visuals

Visual framing can be defined as the process of choosing certain aspects of a ' perceived reality' and emphasising them more than others through the mode of visual communication, thus increasing their salience and meaning. This visually promotes those specific ' attributions, interpretations, or evaluations' of the said issue or item described (Geise, 2017).

The constructs in the minds of news consumers are more directly activated and influenced by information targeted at them through visual frames, which highlight some components of issues over others (Rodgers, Kenix & Thorson, 2007).

Visual framing is the representation of the visual elements present in an image and how these elements are related to each other within the image. These frames are a result of the selections made by creators and editors while image creation.

Criteria for Framing: Variables that Determine News Framing To recognize and identify that framing exist in news, studies have suggested certain criteria or devices. Cappella and Jamieson (1997) suggested four criteria that a frame must meet. First, a news frame must have identifiable conceptual and linguistic characteristics. Second, it should be commonly observed in journalistic practice. Third, it must be possible to reliably distinguish the frame from other frames. Fourth,

a frame must   have representational news framing effects theory validity (i. e., be recognized by others).

CASE STUDY

One compelling case study in news framing involves the coverage of immigration in the United States by different media outlets. This topic is highly contentious and has been a focal point of political debate for many years. Analyzing how various news outlets frame immigration can shed light on the ways in which media shape public perception and understanding of this complex issue.

Conservative-leaning media outlets, such as Fox News, have often framed immigration in terms of national security and law enforcement. Stories may emphasize the need for stricter border control measures to prevent illegal immigration and combat crime. The framing often portrays immigrants, especially those entering the country without authorization, as threats to public safety and national sovereignty. Additionally, conservative media may highlight cases of criminal activity committed by immigrants to underscore the importance of tougher immigration policies.

Comparative analysis of framing across these different media outlets reveals significant differences in how immigration is portrayed and the narratives that are emphasized. Conservative media tend to focus on the potential threats posed by immigrants and the importance of enforcing immigration laws, while liberal media prioritize issues of fairness, compassion, and human rights in their coverage.

Moreover, the framing of immigration in the news media can influence public opinion and political discourse on the issue. Audiences exposed to conservative framing may be more likely to support restrictive immigration policies and view immigrants with suspicion, while those exposed to liberal framing may be more inclined to support policies that prioritize compassion and inclusion.

However, it' s essential to recognize that framing is not limited to overtly ideological outlets like Fox News or MSNBC.

Analyzing how AI Jazeera and BBC News frame immigration offers a fascinating case study in understanding how different international media outlets approach this complex issue. AI Jazeera, based in Qatar, often provides a unique perspective on global events, including immigration. In its coverage, AI Jazeera may frame immigration as a human rights issue, emphasizing the plight of refugees and migrants fleeing conflict, persecution, or poverty. Stories may highlight the challenges faced by migrants on their journeys, including risks of violence, exploitation, and human trafficking. AI Jazeera may also explore the root causes of migration, such as political instability, economic inequality, and climate change, framing immigration as a symptom of broader global injustices. Additionally, AI Jazeera may prioritize perspectives from countries in the Global South and amplify voices of migrants and advocates for refugee rights. On the other hand, BBC News, a prominent British news organization with a global reach, may approach immigration from a more balanced or neutral standpoint. BBC News may seek to provide comprehensive coverage that includes a range of perspectives and voices on the issue. Stories may highlight the complexities of immigration policy, the challenges faced by governments in managing migration flows, and the diverse experiences of migrants and refugees. BBC News may also emphasize the importance of

factual accuracy and impartiality in its reporting, presenting information in a way that encourages critical thinking and informed debate.

Comparing the framing of immigration between AI Jazeera and BBC News reveals differences in emphasis, tone, and perspective. While AI Jazeera may prioritize human rights and global justice in its coverage.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the ethical considerations surrounding news framing are fundamental to the integrity and responsibility of journalism in shaping public discourse and perceptions. Throughout this dissertation, we have examined various ethical issues in news framing, including accuracy, bias, sensationalism, manipulation, transparency, diversity, and societal impact. First and foremost, journalists must prioritize accuracy and truthfulness in their framing choices, presenting information in a manner that is factually accurate and does not mislead or distort reality. Maintaining objectivity and minimizing bias is also essential, as journalists strive to provide fair and balanced coverage that allows audiences to form their own opinions based on multiple perspectives.Furthermore, news framing can evoke emotional responses from audiences, influencing their reactions and engagement with the news. Stories framed in emotive or sensationalistic terms may elicit stronger emotional reactions, leading to increased attention and discussion.However, sensationalistic framing can also trivialize serious issues or exploit human suffering for entertainment value, raising ethical concerns about the impact on audience perceptions and societal values.Bias and objectivity are also important considerations in news framing, as journalists strive to maintain fairness and balance in their reporting. Biased framing can distort the facts and mislead audiences, eroding trust in the media and undermining the credibility of journalism. Ethical journalists must be transparent about their framing choices and strive to minimize bias in their reporting.Additionally, news framing can influence perceptions of responsibility and agency, shaping who audiences perceive as responsible for addressing or solving a particular issue. Framing a story in terms of individual responsibility may lead audiences to blame specific actors or groups for the problem, while framing it in terms of systemic or societal factors may lead audiences to call for broader structural changes.

Diversity and inclusion are also important considerations in news framing, as journalists seek to represent the full range of voices and perspectives within society. Framing stories in a way that amplifies diverse voices and experiences can contribute to a more inclusive public discourse, fostering empathy and understanding across different communities.

Overall, the relationship between news framing in news channels and audience perceptions is complex and dynamic, with important implications for public discourse and democratic participation.

REFERENCES

1. -Akpoghiran, I. P. (2022). Public health risk: Public views on panic reporting and media framing of the COVID-19 pandemic. New Media and Mass Communication, 100. https://doi.org/10.7176/NMMC

2. -Amodu, L. C. (2006). Perception: A determinant for effective communication. SOPHIA: An African Journal of Philosophy, 9(1), 148-153.

3. -Arowolo, S. O. (2017). Understanding framing theory. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/Understanding_Framing_Theory

4. -Batelaan, N. M., Seldenrijk, A., Bot, M., Balkom, A. J. L. M., & Penninx, B. W. J. H. (2016). Anxiety and new onset of cardiovascular disease: Critical review and meta-analysis. British Journal of Psychiatry, 208(3), 223-231. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.114.159145

5. -Beckett, C. (2008). SuperMedia: Saving journalism so it can save the world. Chichester, UK: Wiley-Blackwell.

6. -Beckett, C. (2010). The value of networked journalism. London, England: POLIS, London School of Economics and Political Science.

7. -Beckett, C., & Deuze, M. (2016). On the role of emotion in the future of journalism. Journal of Social Media and Society, 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305115620976

8. -Brüggemann, M. (2014). Between frame setting and frame sending: How journalists contribute to news frames. Communication Theory, 24(1), 61-82. https://doi.org/10.1111/comt.12027

9. -Cappella, J. N., & Jamieson, K. H. (1997). Spiral of cynicism: The press and the public good. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

10. -Lichtenstein, R., et al. (1994). School crisis response: Expecting the unexpected. Educational Leadership, 52(2), 45-50.

11. -Wu, X. (2011). A comparative study of people's livelihood news between party newspapers and urban newspapers from the perspective of frame theory [Master's thesis, Anhui University].

12. -Goffman, E. (1974). Frame analysis: An essay on the organization of experience. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

13. -Luo, Y., & Chen, G. (2008). On the framework of news media coverage of controversial events: A study of the "South China tiger photo" incident. Contemporary Communication, 6(1), 123-130.

14. -Sun, C. (2010). A literature review of the development of frame theory in the past 35 years: Discussing the problems and suggestions of the development of frame theory in the past 11 years in Mainland China. International Press, 11(4), 34-38.

15. -Wang, D. (2011). Media should do something to punish academic misconduct. Young Journalist, 5(3), 22-25.