Innovation and Integrative Research Center Journal
ISSN: 2584-1491 |www.iircj.org
Volume-1 Issue-1, Sep. 2023, Page 4-10

Osteosarcoma detection using canny edge detector with machine learning

Akash Pandey, Assistant Professor, CSIT Department, Kalinga University, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Email: akash.pandey@kalingauniversity.ac.in

Abstract

Osteosarcoma is a type of bone cancer that primarily affects children and young adults. Early detection and diagnosis of osteosarcoma are crucial for successful treatment outcomes. One approach to detecting osteosarcoma is to use medical imaging techniques, such as X-ray or MRI, and applying image processing and machine learning algorithms to analyze the images.

The Canny edge detector is a popular image processing technique that is commonly used for detecting edges in images. It works by applying a series of filters to an image to highlight edges and then thresholding the filtered image to obtain a binary edge map.

To use the Canny edge detector for osteosarcoma detection, you would first need to obtain medical images of the affected bone. These images can then be pre-processed to enhance the bone structure and remove any noise or artifacts that may interfere with edge detection. Next, you would apply the Canny edge detector to the pre-processed images to obtain a binary edge map.

Once you have the edge map, you can extract features from the edges using machine learning algorithms, such as support vector machines (SVM) or convolutional neural networks (CNN). These algorithms can learn to distinguish between normal and abnormal bone structures based on the edge features extracted from the images.

It's worth noting that while the Canny edge detector is a useful tool for detecting edges in images, it may not be sufficient on its own for accurate osteosarcoma detection. Combining it with other image processing techniques and machine learning algorithms may improve the accuracy of the detection process.

Keywords: Osteosarcoma, Canny edge detector, Machine learning.

Introduction

Osteosarcoma detection is a challenging task that requires accurate and efficient detection of the tumor in medical images. Canny edge detection is a well-known technique for detecting edges in images, but it may not be sufficient for accurate osteosarcoma detection on its own. However, combining Canny edge detection with machine learning techniques can improve the accuracy of osteosarcoma detection.

Innovation and Integrative Research Center Journal
ISSN: 2584-1491 |www.iircj.org
Volume-1 Issue-1, Sep. 2023, Page 4-10

Here is a possible approach for osteosarcoma detection using Canny edge detection and machine learning:

1. Image preprocessing: The medical images (e.g., X-rays, CT scans) should be preprocessed to remove noise and enhance contrast. This can be done using techniques such as filtering and histogram equalization.

2. Canny edge detection: The preprocessed images can be subjected to Canny edge detection to extract the edges of the bone structure and tumor. This will result in a binary image where the edges are represented as white pixels.

3. Feature extraction: Features can be extracted from the binary images, such as the area, perimeter, and shape of the detected edges. These features can be used to train a machine learning model.

4. Machine learning: A machine learning model, such as a support vector machine (SVM) or convolutional neural network (CNN), can be trained on the extracted features to classify the images as either normal or containing osteosarcoma. The model can be fine-tuned using techniques such as crossvalidation and regularization to improve its performance.

5. Evaluation: The performance of the model can be evaluated using metrics such as sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve.

Overall, the combination of Canny edge detection and machine learning can provide an accurate and efficient method for detecting osteosarcoma in medical images. However, it is important to note that this is a complex task that requires expertise in both image processing and machine learning, and it is important to consult with medical professionals to ensure that the approach is appropriate for clinical use.

Osteosarcoma

Osteosarcoma is a type of bone cancer that develops in the cells that form bones. It usually occurs in children, adolescents, and young adults, and it is more common in males than females. The exact cause of osteosarcoma is unknown, but some risk factors include previous radiation therapy, certain genetic conditions, and some bone diseases.

Symptoms of osteosarcoma can include bone pain, swelling, and tenderness near the affected bone, as well as fatigue, weight loss, and fever. Imaging tests such as X-rays, CT scans, and MRI scans are usually used to diagnose osteosarcoma, and a biopsy may be performed to confirm the diagnosis.

Treatment for osteosarcoma typically involves a combination of chemotherapy, surgery, and radiation therapy. The goal of treatment is to remove as much of the cancer as possible while preserving as much of the affected limb as possible. In some cases, amputation of the affected limb may be necessary.

The outlook for patients with osteosarcoma depends on factors such as the size and location of the tumor, whether it has spread to other parts of the body, and the patient's overall health. With early diagnosis and aggressive treatment, the prognosis for osteosarcoma has improved over the years, but it is still a serious condition that requires careful management by a team of medical professionals.

Innovation and Integrative Research Center Journal
ISSN: 2584-1491 |www.iircj.org
Volume-1 Issue-1, Sep. 2023, Page 4-10

Osteosarcoma detection through canny edge detector

Osteosarcoma detection through Canny edge detector is one of the image processing techniques that can be used to detect the presence of osteosarcoma in medical images such as X-rays or CT scans.The Canny edge detector is a popular image processing technique used to detect the edges in an image. The algorithm works by identifying the areas in the image where the intensity of the pixels changes rapidly, and then tracing the edges of these areas.

To detect osteosarcoma using the Canny edge detector, the following steps can be followed:

1. Preprocessing: The medical image should be preprocessed to remove noise and enhance contrast. This can be done using techniques such as filtering and histogram equalization.

2. Applying Canny edge detector: The preprocessed image is then passed through the Canny edge detector. The output of the Canny edge detector is a binary image where the edges are represented as white pixels.

3. Postprocessing: The binary image can be post-processed to remove any small, isolated regions that are not relevant to the detection of osteosarcoma.

4. Analysis: The resulting image can be analyzed to detect the presence of osteosarcoma. This can be done manually by a trained medical professional or using machine learning techniques such as object detection algorithms.

It is important to note that while the Canny edge detector can be useful for detecting the edges of osteosarcoma in medical images, it is not always sufficient on its own to accurately diagnose the presence of osteosarcoma. The results of the Canny edge detector should be interpreted in the context of other clinical information, and confirmed with additional diagnostic tests such as a biopsy or MRI scan.

Proposed Methodology

This methodology explain the general steps involved in using Canny edge detector to detect osteosarcoma in MRI images. However, it's important to note that medical imaging analysis requires specialized knowledge and training, and it is strongly recommend consulting with a medical professional or a qualified image processing expert before applying any techniques to diagnose medical conditions.

Detection of osteosarcoma in MRI images through the Canny edge detector with machine learning is a possible approach to automate the process of osteosarcoma detection in medical images. Here is a possible approach for detecting osteosarcoma in MRI images using the Canny edge detector and machine learning:

1. Image preprocessing: The MRI images should be preprocessed to remove noise and enhance contrast. This can be done using techniques such as filtering and histogram equalization.

2. Canny edge detection: The preprocessed images can be subjected to the Canny edge detection algorithm to extract the edges of the bone structure and tumor. This will result in a binary image where the edges are represented as white pixels.

3. Feature extraction: Features can be extracted from the binary images, such as the area, perimeter, and shape of the detected edges. These features can be used to train a machine learning model.

4. Machine learning: A machine learning model, such as a support vector machine (SVM) or convolutional neural network (CNN), can be trained on the extracted features to classify the images as either normal or containing osteosarcoma. The model can be fine-tuned using techniques such as cross-validation and regularization to improve its performance.

5. Evaluation: The performance of the model can be evaluated using metrics such as sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve.

The use of the Canny edge detector and machine learning can provide an accurate and efficient method for detecting osteosarcoma in MRI images. However, it is important to note that this is a complex task that requires expertise in both image processing and machine learning, and it is important to consult with medical professionals to ensure that the approach is appropriate for clinical use.

Conclusion

There are several potential benefits of using the Canny edge detector with machine learning for osteosarcoma detection:

1. Early detection: Early detection of osteosarcoma is important for successful treatment and improved patient outcomes. Using the Canny edge detector with machine learning can help to detect osteosarcoma at an early stage, which can lead to earlier intervention and better prognosis.

2. Accuracy: Osteosarcoma detection through the Canny edge detector with machine learning can improve the accuracy and consistency of diagnosis. The use of machine learning can reduce the risk of human error and increase the sensitivity and specificity of the detection process.

3. Efficiency: Osteosarcoma detection through the Canny edge detector with machine learning can also improve the efficiency of the diagnostic process. The use of automated detection techniques can save time and reduce the workload of medical professionals.

4. Objectivity: The use of the Canny edge detector with machine learning for osteosarcoma detection can provide an objective assessment of medical images. The use of a standardized algorithm and machine learning models can reduce the potential for subjective interpretation by human experts.

5. Accessibility: The use of the Canny edge detector with machine learning can also increase accessibility to osteosarcoma detection for patients in remote or underserved areas. The use of automated detection techniques can provide a reliable and efficient way to diagnose osteosarcoma in regions with limited access to medical expertise.

Overall, the use of the Canny edge detector with machine learning for osteosarcoma detection can improve the accuracy, efficiency, and accessibility of the diagnostic process, leading to better outcomes for patients.

References

1. Park JG, Lee C (2009) Skull stripping based on region growingformagneticresonancebrainimages.Neuroimage47:1394–1407

2. Pandey, A., &Shrivastava, S. K. (2018, July). A survey paper on calcaneus bone tumor detection using different improved canny edge detector. In 2018 IEEE International Conference on System, Computation, Automation and Networking (ICSCA) (pp. 1-5). IEEE.

3. Khan MA, Lali IU, Rehman A, Ishaq M, Sharif M, Saba T et al(2019)Braintumordetectionandclassification:Aframeworkofmarkerbasedwatershedalgorithmandmultilevelpriorityfeaturesselection.MicroscResTech82:909–922

4. RazaM,SharifM,YasminM,MasoodS,MohsinS(2012)Brainimagerepresentationandrendering:asurvey.ResJApplSciEngTechnol4:3274–3282

5. Watson C, Kirkcaldie M, Paxinos G (2010) The brain: an introductiontofunctionalneuroanatomy.AcademicPress,NewYork

6. (2015).https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brain_size.Accessed19Oct2019

7. DubinMW(2013)Howthebrainworks.Wiley,NewYork

8. Koziol LF, Budding DE, Chidekel D (2012) From movement tothought: executive function, embodied cognition, and the cerebel-lum.Cerebellum11:505–525

9. Knierim J (1997) Neuroscience Online Chapter 5: Cerebellum.TheUniversityofTexasHealthScienceCenter,Houston

10. Nuñez MA, Miranda JCF, de Oliveira E, Rubino PA, VoscoboinikS,RecaldeRetal(2019)Brainstemanatomyandsurgi-cal approaches. Comprehensive overview of modern surgicalapproaches to intrinsic brain tumors. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp53–105

11. DeAngelisLM(2001)Braintumors.NEnglJMed344:114–123

12. Amin J, Sharif M, Raza M, Saba T, Sial R, Shad SA (2020) Braintumordetection:alongshorttermmemory(LSTM)-basedlearn-ingmodel.NeuralComputAppl32:15965–15973

13. Sajjad S, Hanan Abdullah A, Sharif M, Mohsin S (2014) Psy-chotherapythroughvideogametotargetillnessrelatedproblem-atic behaviors of children with brain tumor. Curr Med Imaging10:62–72

14. YasminM,SharifM,MasoodS,RazaM,MohsinS(2012)Brainimagereconstruction:ashortsurvey.WorldApplSciJ19:52–62

15. AminJ,SharifM,RazaM,YasminM(2018)Detectionofbraintumorbasedonfeaturesfusionandmachinelearning.JAmbientIntellHuman Comput:1–17

16. AminJ,SharifM,YasminM,FernandesSL(2020)Adistinctiveapproach in brain tumor detection and classification using MRI.PatternRecognLett139:118–127

17. Saba T, Mohamed AS, El-Affendi M, Amin J, Sharif M (2020)Brain tumor detection using fusion of hand crafted and deep learn-ingfeatures.CognSystRes59:221–230

18. Sharif M, Amin J, Nisar MW, Anjum MA, Muhammad N, ShadSA (2020) A unified patch based method for brain tumor detectionusingfeaturesfusion.CognSystRes59:273–286

19. Sharif M, Tanvir U, Munir EU, Khan MA, Yasmin M (2018)Braintumorsegmentationandclassificationbyimprovedbino-mialthresholding and multi-features selection. J Ambient IntellHumanComput:1–20

20. Sharif MI, Li JP, Khan MA, Saleem MA (2020) Active deepneural network features selection for segmentation and recog-nition of brain tumors using MRI images. Pattern RecognLett129:181–189

21. SharifMI,LiJP,NazJ,RashidI(2020)Acomprehensivereviewonmultiorganstumordetectionbasedonmachinelearning.Pat-ternRecognLett131:30–37

22. Ohgaki H, Kleihues P (2013) The definition of primary and secondaryglioblastoma.ClinCancerRes19:764–772

23. Cachia D, Kamiya-Matsuoka C, Mandel JJ, Olar A, CykowskiMD, Armstrong TS et al (2015) Primary and secondary gliosarco-mas: clinical, molecular and survival characteristics. J Neurooncol125:401–410

24. Amin J, Sharif M, Gul N, Yasmin M, Shad SA (2020) Brain tumorclassification based on DWT fusion of MRI sequences using con-volutionalneuralnetwork.PatternRecognLett129:115–122

25. SharifM,AminJ,RazaM,YasminM,SatapathySC(2020)An integrated design of particle swarm optimization (PSO) withfusion of features for detection of brain tumor. Pattern RecognLett129:150–157

26. Amin J, Sharif M, Anjum MA, Raza M, Bukhari SAC (2020) Con-volutional neural network with batch normalization for glioma andstrokelesiondetectionusingMRI.CognSystRes59:304–311

27. Amin J, Sharif M, Raza M, Saba T, Anjum MA (2019) Braintumor detection using statistical and machine learning method.ComputMethodsProgrBiomed177:69–79

28. Amin J, Sharif M, Gul N, Raza M, Anjum MA, Nisar MW et al(2020) Brain tumor detection by using stacked autoencoders indeeplearning.JMedSyst44:32

29. Johnson DR, Guerin JB, Giannini C, Morris JM, Eckel LJ,Kaufmann TJ (2017) 2016 updates to the WHO brain tumorclassificationsystem:whattheradiologistneedstoknow.Radio-graphics37:2164–2180

30. WrightE,AmankwahEK,WinesettSP,TuiteGF,JalloG,CareyC et al (2019) Incidentally found brain tumors in the pediatricpopulation: a case series and proposed treatment algorithm. J Neurooncol141:355–361

31. PellegrinoMP,MoreiraF,ConfortoAB(2021)Ischemicstroke.Neurocritical care for neurosurgeons. Springer, New York, pp517–534

32. GarrickR,RotundoE,ChughSS,BrevikTA(2021)Acutekidney injuryintheelderlysurgicalpatient.Emergencygeneralsurgeryingeriatrics.Springer,NewYork,pp205–227

33. Lehmann ALCF, Alfieri DF, de Araújo MCM, Trevisani ER,NagaoMR,PesenteFS,GelinskiJR,deFreitasLB,FlauzinoT, Lehmann MF, Lozovoy MAB (2021) Carotid intima mediathickness measurements coupled with stroke severity strongly pre-dictshorttermoutcomeinpatientswithacuteischemicstroke:amachinelearningstudy.MetabBrainDis36:1747–1761

34. Scott AM (2005) PET imaging in oncology. In: Bailey DL,Townsend DW, Valk PE, Maisey MN (eds) Positron emissiontomography.Springer,London,pp311–325

35. WongTZ,vanderWesthuizenGJ,ColemanRE(2002)Positronemission tomography imaging of brain tumors. NeuroimagingClin12:615–626

36. Smith-Bindman R, Lipson J, Marcus R, Kim K-P, Mahesh M,Gould R et al (2009) Radiation dose associated with commoncomputed tomography examinations and the associated lifetimeattributableriskofcancer.ArchInternMed169:2078–2086

37. Sinha, A., &Barde, S. (2022). Face recognition across age progression by using PCA. International Journal of Food and Nutritional Sciences, 11(S3), 4608-4617. https://doi.org/10.48047/IJFANS/S3/144

38. Sinha, A., &Barde, S. (2022). Age Invariant Face Recogntion Using Pca And Msvm. Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results, 2174-2185.

39. Sinha, A., &Barde, S. (2022, October). Illumination invariant face recognition using MSVM. In AIP Conference Proceedings (Vol. 2455, No. 1, p. 040005). AIP Publishing LLC.

40. Sinha, A., &Barde, S. (2023). Multi Invariant Face Detection via Viola Jones Algorithm. Eur. Chem. Bull., 12(Special Issue 1), 24-32. doi: 10.31838/ecb/2023.12.s1.003.

41. Ghatge, M. R., &Barde, S. (2022, November). The Sentiment Analysis for Hindi Language Using Convolution Neural Network and Deep Belief Network. In Information Systems and Management Science: Conference Proceedings of 4th International Conference on Information Systems and Management Science (ISMS) 2021 (pp. 1-14). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

42. Ghatge, M. R., &Barde, S. (2022, October). Comparison of CNN-LSTM in Sentiment Analysis for Hindi Mix Language. In 2022 2nd International Conference on Technological Advancements in Computational Sciences (ICTACS) (pp. 453-456). IEEE.

43. Pandey, Akash& Sinha, Anupa. (2023). Journal of Clinical Otorhinolaryngology, Head, and Neck Surgery BRAIN TUMOR DETECTION USING CANNY EDGE DETECTOR WITH MACHINE LEARNING. 2023.

Innovation and Integrative Research Center Journal
ISSN: 2584-1491 |www.iircj.org
Volume-1 Issue-1, Sep. 2023, Page 4-10