

## Rethinking Justice in India a Juridical Reflection on Men's Rights and Gender Neutrality

<sup>1</sup>Harleen Kaur, <sup>2</sup>Dr. Vivek Malik

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Law, Kalinga University, Raipur, C.G.

### Abstract

Justice in a constitutional democracy is expected to be fair, inclusive, and impartial. The Indian legal system, grounded in the principles of equality and dignity, has historically evolved to protect vulnerable sections of society, particularly women. While such protective measures were necessary to address structural and historical inequalities, their gender-specific nature has created new legal imbalances in contemporary society. This paper critically examines the concept of gender neutrality in Indian law with specific reference to men's rights. It reflects on how gendered legal frameworks, social stereotypes, and institutional practices have resulted in the exclusion of men as potential victims of abuse, harassment, and legal misuse. Using a juridical and critical legal approach, the study analyses constitutional provisions, statutory laws, judicial observations, and socio-legal realities to argue for a more balanced justice system. The paper does not seek to undermine women-centric protections but advocates for inclusive, gender-neutral legal reforms that align with constitutional morality and ensure justice for all individuals, irrespective of gender.

**Keywords:** Gender Neutrality, Men's Rights, Indian Legal System, Gender Justice, Legal Reform

### 1. Introduction

Justice and equality form the cornerstone of the Indian legal system. The Constitution of India guarantees equality before law and equal protection of laws under Article 14, prohibits discrimination on the ground of sex under Article 15, and ensures the right to life and personal liberty under Article 21. These provisions collectively reflect the vision of a just, fair, and inclusive society where every individual is treated with dignity.

Historically, Indian society has been deeply patriarchal, with women facing systemic discrimination, violence, and exclusion from social and economic power. In response to these realities, the legal system developed several gender-specific laws aimed at protecting women from domestic violence, dowry harassment, sexual exploitation, and workplace discrimination. These laws played a crucial role in empowering women and correcting long-standing injustices. However, society is not static. Social structures, family dynamics, and gender roles have undergone significant transformation in recent decades. Despite these changes, many legal provisions continue to operate on rigid gender assumptions, portraying women exclusively as victims and men as perpetrators. This binary approach, though well-intentioned, has resulted in the neglect of men's legal vulnerabilities.

Men today face issues such as domestic abuse, emotional violence, false allegations, and prolonged legal harassment, yet the law offers them little recognition or remedy. The absence of legal acknowledgment not only denies justice to male victims but also creates imbalance within the justice delivery system.

Gender neutrality in law offers a framework to address this imbalance. It emphasizes justice based on harm and evidence rather than gender identity. This paper seeks to rethink justice in India by examining the need for gender-neutral legal reforms, focusing on men's rights while preserving the constitutional commitment to equality and fairness.

## 2. Concept of Gender Neutrality in Indian Law

### 2.1 Meaning of Gender-Neutral Laws

Gender-neutral laws are legal provisions that apply equally to all individuals, regardless of their gender. Such laws focus on the nature of the offence, the harm caused, and the responsibility of the parties involved rather than their gender identity.

In a gender-neutral framework, a victim is recognized as a victim because of the harm suffered, not because of their gender. Similarly, an accused is assessed based on evidence and conduct, not on preconceived gender roles.

### 2.2 Gender Equality and Gender Neutrality: A Conceptual Difference

Gender equality and gender neutrality are often used interchangeably, but they represent distinct legal approaches. Gender equality aims to achieve equal status and opportunities for all genders, often through special protections for historically disadvantaged groups. Gender neutrality, on the other hand, emphasizes impartiality in legal design and application.

Gender equality may justify special provisions for women to address historical injustice. Gender neutrality, however, seeks to ensure that the law itself does not discriminate or exclude individuals based on gender.

**Table 1: Gender Equality vs Gender Neutrality**

Basis	Gender Equality	Gender Neutrality
Objective	Correct historical disadvantage	Ensure legal impartiality
Approach	Protective and compensatory	Inclusive and neutral
Focus	Outcome-oriented	Process-oriented
Risk	Reverse discrimination	Insufficient safeguards if poorly framed

### **2.3 Constitutional Basis of Gender Neutrality**

The Indian Constitution provides a strong foundation for gender neutrality. Article 14 guarantees equality before law to all persons. Article 15 prohibits discrimination on grounds of sex, while Article 21 ensures dignity and personal liberty.

Although Article 15(3) allows special provisions for women, it does not mandate permanent gender-based exclusion. Constitutional morality demands periodic re-evaluation of laws to ensure that protective measures do not become instruments of injustice.

### **2.4 Gender-Specific Nature of Existing Indian Laws**

Despite constitutional guarantees, many Indian laws remain gender-specific in language and application. Domestic violence laws recognize only women as victims. Sexual harassment laws focus exclusively on female complainants. Criminal provisions often presume men as offenders.

Such gender-specific frameworks limit access to justice for men and reinforce stereotypes rather than addressing actual harm. This structural imbalance raises serious questions about the fairness and inclusivity of the legal system.

## **3. Critical Legal Perspective on Gendered Laws**

### **3.1 Law as a Product of Power and Social Structure**

Law is often presented as a neutral and objective system designed to deliver justice. However, critical legal scholarship challenges this assumption by arguing that law is deeply influenced

by social power structures, cultural norms, and dominant ideologies. Laws are not created in isolation; they reflect the values and priorities of the society in which they are framed.

In the Indian context, social structures have historically been patriarchal. While patriarchy traditionally disadvantaged women, it also constructed rigid expectations of masculinity. Men were expected to be strong, dominant, and emotionally resilient. These assumptions have significantly shaped the legal understanding of gender roles.

Gendered laws emerge from this social framework. When legislation assumes that only women can be victims and only men can be offenders, it reinforces social hierarchies rather than addressing actual harm. Such laws do not merely reflect social realities; they actively shape them by legitimizing certain narratives of victimhood and guilt.

From a critical legal perspective, this creates a power imbalance where legal authority supports one gender narrative while silencing others. Justice, in such a system, becomes selective rather than universal.

### 3.2 How Gender Assumptions Shape Legislation

Many Indian laws are based on assumptions rather than empirical realities. These assumptions include:

- Women are inherently vulnerable
- Men are inherently aggressive
- Power flows only in one direction within relationships

These beliefs influence legislative drafting. For example, domestic violence laws presume that violence occurs only against women within households. Matrimonial laws often assume men to be financially and socially dominant. Criminal laws frequently operate on the assumption that accusations by women are inherently credible.

Such assumptions simplify complex human relationships. Abuse, violence, and coercion are not limited by gender; they arise from power dynamics, emotional vulnerability, and situational contexts. When law ignores these complexities, it produces unjust outcomes.

Legislation shaped by assumptions rather than evidence restricts access to justice for those who do not fit predefined roles. Men who experience abuse are excluded not because their suffering is less real, but because it does not align with legislative imagination.

### **3.3 Bias Created by Gender-Specific Legal Language**

Legal language plays a crucial role in defining rights and remedies. Gender-specific terminology such as “aggrieved woman,” “husband,” or “male offender” restricts the scope of protection and recognition.

When laws explicitly name only one gender as a victim, they implicitly deny the possibility of victimhood for others. This creates structural bias within the legal system. Police officers, lawyers, and judges are constrained by statutory language, even when facts suggest a different reality.

Gender-specific language also influences public perception. It reinforces stereotypes that men cannot be victims and women cannot be perpetrators. Over time, this narrative becomes normalized within legal practice and social discourse.

A legal system that relies heavily on gendered language risks transforming protection into prejudice. Neutral language, on the other hand, allows the law to respond to harm more effectively and fairly.

### **3.4 Role of Judiciary in Reinforcing or Questioning Bias**

The judiciary occupies a critical position in either sustaining or correcting gender bias within law. Courts interpret statutes, assess evidence, and balance competing interests. In many instances, courts have acknowledged the misuse of gender-specific laws and the need for safeguards.

Judicial observations have highlighted that blind application of protective laws can lead to injustice. However, despite such observations, courts often remain bound by the gendered structure of statutes.

There is also inconsistency in judicial approach. While some judgments emphasize equality and caution against misuse, others reinforce traditional gender assumptions by prioritizing protection over procedural fairness.

Judicial sensitivity, constitutional interpretation, and balanced reasoning are essential to prevent gender bias from undermining justice. Without a neutral legal framework, judicial intervention remains limited and case-specific.

## **4. Position of Men under the Existing Legal Framework**

### **4.1 Men as Excluded or Invisible Victims**

One of the most serious consequences of gender-specific laws is the invisibility of male victims. Indian law largely fails to recognize men as possible victims of domestic violence, emotional abuse, or sexual harassment.

This invisibility does not arise from the absence of suffering but from the absence of legal recognition. Men who experience abuse often find that there is no clear legal provision under which they can seek remedy.

The law's silence sends a powerful message that male suffering is either insignificant or unacceptable. This discourages reporting and reinforces social stigma.

#### **4.2 Lack of Legal Remedies for Men in Domestic Violence Cases**

Domestic violence laws in India provide protection exclusively to women. Men facing physical or emotional abuse within intimate relationships have no dedicated statutory remedy.

In such cases, men are forced to rely on general criminal provisions, which are often inadequate, adversarial, and insensitive to the dynamics of domestic abuse. These provisions also lack support mechanisms such as protection orders, counseling, or residence rights.

The absence of remedies creates a legal vacuum where men are left unprotected. This contradicts the constitutional principle that law must protect all individuals equally.

#### **4.3 Presumption of Guilt in Matrimonial and Criminal Laws**

In matrimonial disputes, men frequently encounter an informal presumption of guilt. Arrests, investigations, and social consequences often follow accusations, even before evidence is examined.

This presumption undermines the principle of "innocent until proven guilty." The burden of proving innocence often shifts unfairly onto the accused, leading to prolonged legal battles and reputational harm.

Such practices reflect institutional bias rather than legal necessity. A justice system that presumes guilt based on gender risks eroding its own legitimacy.

#### **4.4 Social and Legal Impact on Accused Men**

The impact of gender-biased laws on men extends beyond legal consequences. Accused men often face:

- Social stigma and loss of reputation

- Psychological stress and depression
- Financial hardship due to legal expenses
- Breakdown of family and social relationships

These effects persist even in cases where accusations are eventually found to be false or exaggerated. The damage caused by legal proceedings is often irreversible.

When law fails to balance protection with fairness, it produces victims on both sides. This reality underscores the urgent need for reform.

**Table 2: Legal Position of Men under Existing Framework**

Aspect	Present Legal Position
Domestic violence protection	No specific remedy
Recognition as victims	Largely absent
Presumption in disputes	Often presumed guilty
Social impact	Stigma and isolation
Legal safeguards	Limited or unavailable

## 5. Misuse of Protective Laws and Legal Imbalance

### 5.1 Overview of Misuse Concerns in Gender-Specific Laws

Protective laws are enacted with the primary objective of safeguarding vulnerable individuals from abuse and injustice. In India, several gender-specific laws were introduced to address serious social problems faced by women, particularly within families and workplaces. While these laws remain essential for genuine victims, concerns regarding their misuse have become increasingly prominent.

Misuse refers to situations where legal provisions are employed not for protection but as tools of pressure, retaliation, or advantage in personal disputes. Such misuse does not undermine the legitimacy of women's rights; rather, it exposes weaknesses in procedural design and enforcement.

When laws lack neutrality and safeguards, they become vulnerable to exploitation. This results in legal imbalance, where protection for one group unintentionally produces injustice for another.

### 5.2 Judicial Observations on Misuse

Indian courts have repeatedly acknowledged the misuse of certain gender-specific laws. Judicial pronouncements have emphasized that while protection is necessary, blind application of law without verification can lead to serious injustice.

Courts have expressed concern that automatic arrests, absence of preliminary inquiry, and lack of accountability for false complaints undermine the principles of fairness and due process. Such observations reflect judicial awareness of the imbalance created by one-sided legal frameworks.

However, judicial intervention alone cannot resolve structural issues. Courts are bound by statutory language and can only provide limited relief through interpretation. This highlights the need for legislative reform rather than case-by-case correction.

### 5.3 Effects on Families, Reputation, and Mental Health

The misuse of gender-specific laws has consequences that extend far beyond the courtroom. Accused individuals often face immediate social and emotional repercussions.

Families of the accused suffer social isolation, humiliation, and breakdown of relationships. Elderly parents, siblings, and even children may become indirectly affected by legal proceedings.

Mental health consequences are particularly severe. Prolonged litigation, social stigma, and uncertainty lead to stress, anxiety, depression, and, in extreme cases, suicidal tendencies. Even when cases end in acquittal, emotional damage often remains irreversible.

Such outcomes raise serious ethical concerns about the functioning of a justice system that allows irreversible harm without adequate safeguards.

### 5.4 Impact on Trust in the Justice System

Public trust is a cornerstone of any effective legal system. When laws are perceived as biased or easily misused, confidence in legal institutions declines.

Victims may hesitate to seek justice due to fear of misuse allegations, while accused individuals may view the system as inherently unfair. This dual erosion of trust weakens the legitimacy of law and reduces its effectiveness as an instrument of justice.

A legal framework that balances protection with fairness is essential to restore faith in the justice delivery system.

## 6. Need for Gender-Neutral Legal Reforms

### 6.1 Protection Based on Harm, Not Gender

Justice should be determined by the nature and severity of harm suffered, not by the gender of the individual. A harm-based approach ensures that every victim receives equal consideration under law.

Gender-neutral reforms allow the law to focus on evidence, intent, and impact. This approach reduces bias, increases accuracy in adjudication, and ensures that no victim is excluded due to identity.

Such reforms are not aimed at dismantling women's protections but at expanding justice to include all individuals.

### 6.2 International Examples of Gender-Neutral Laws

Several countries have adopted gender-neutral legal frameworks in areas such as domestic violence and workplace harassment. These laws recognize that abuse and exploitation are human problems rather than gender-specific issues.

International experience demonstrates that gender-neutral laws improve reporting, reduce misuse, and enhance procedural fairness. These frameworks serve as valuable reference points for Indian legal reform.

India, as a constitutional democracy committed to human rights, can draw lessons from these models while adapting them to its social context.

### 6.3 Benefits of Inclusive Legal Protection

Inclusive legal protection strengthens the justice system in multiple ways. It:

- Ensures equal access to remedies
- Reduces scope for misuse
- Encourages genuine reporting
- Enhances credibility of law

By recognizing all victims, the legal system affirms its commitment to fairness and dignity.

## 6.4 Strengthening Fairness without Weakening Women's Rights

A common concern surrounding gender-neutral reforms is the fear that they may weaken women's rights. This fear, though understandable, is misplaced.

Gender neutrality does not require removal of special safeguards where they are necessary. Instead, it calls for balanced design that includes safeguards for all victims.

Women's protections can coexist with inclusive frameworks that recognize men's vulnerabilities. Justice is not a zero-sum concept; expanding protection does not diminish existing rights.

## 7. Challenges in Implementing Gender Neutrality

### 7.1 Social Stereotypes about Masculinity and Victimhood

Deeply ingrained social stereotypes portray men as strong and incapable of victimhood. These beliefs discourage men from reporting abuse and seeking legal help.

Male victims often face ridicule and disbelief, leading to silence and underreporting. This social reality significantly hinders recognition and reform.

### 7.2 Political and Legislative Resistance

Gender-neutral reforms often face political hesitation. Policymakers fear backlash from being perceived as anti-women or regressive.

This resistance results in avoidance of meaningful debate, despite growing evidence of legal imbalance. Legislative inertia remains a major obstacle to reform.

### 7.3 Lack of Data on Male Victims

The absence of official data on male victimization creates a serious policy gap. Without data, the issue remains invisible in legal and political discourse.

Data collection is essential to inform evidence-based reforms and to counter misconceptions about victimhood.

### 7.4 Fear of Weakening Women-Centric Laws

There is widespread fear that gender-neutral laws will dilute women's protections. This misconception prevents rational discussion and balanced reform.

Clear communication and careful legal drafting are necessary to address these concerns and build public trust.

**Table 3: Challenges in Implementing Gender Neutrality**

Challenge	Impact
Social stereotypes	Underreporting by men
Political resistance	Legislative delay
Lack of data	Policy inaction
Misconceptions	Public opposition

## **8. Suggestions and Way Forward**

The discussion in the preceding sections clearly indicates that the Indian legal system requires thoughtful and balanced reforms to move toward genuine gender justice. The objective of reform should not be to replace one form of bias with another, but to create a legal framework that is inclusive, fair, and constitutionally sound.

### **8.1 Gender-Neutral Drafting of Laws**

The first and most important step toward reform is the use of gender-neutral language in legislation. Laws should be drafted in a manner that recognizes victims and offenders as “persons” rather than assigning roles based on gender.

Gender-neutral drafting allows the law to address harm objectively and provides flexibility in interpretation. It also ensures that individuals are not excluded from protection merely because they do not fit traditional gender roles.

At the same time, special safeguards for vulnerable groups can be retained through carefully worded provisions without creating blanket exclusions.

### **8.2 Safeguards against Misuse**

Legal protection must be accompanied by procedural safeguards to prevent misuse. Safeguards such as preliminary inquiry, scrutiny before arrest, and accountability for false complaints are essential to maintain balance.

Such safeguards protect innocent individuals without discouraging genuine victims from approaching the law. Fair procedure strengthens the credibility of protective laws and ensures that justice is not compromised.

Safeguards should be viewed not as barriers to justice but as tools to ensure proportionality and fairness.

### **8.3 Judicial Sensitivity and Balanced Interpretation**

Judges play a crucial role in shaping the application of law. Judicial sensitivity training on gender neutrality, social realities, and constitutional morality can enhance balanced interpretation.

Courts should focus on evidence, intent, and harm rather than stereotypes or assumptions. A rights-based and neutral approach to interpretation helps prevent injustice and reinforces public trust in the judiciary.

Consistent judicial reasoning across cases is essential to ensure predictability and fairness in the justice delivery system.

### **8.4 Awareness and Data Collection Mechanisms**

Legal reform cannot succeed without social awareness. Public education campaigns are necessary to challenge stereotypes about masculinity and victimhood.

Government agencies should develop mechanisms for collecting gender-inclusive data on victimization. Accurate data is essential for understanding the scope of the problem and for designing evidence-based policies.

Recognizing male victims through data collection does not undermine women's issues; rather, it strengthens the overall understanding of social harm.

## **9. Conclusion**

This study set out to rethink justice in India by examining the relationship between men's rights and gender neutrality within the legal system. The analysis reveals that while gender-specific laws were historically justified, their continued application without revision has resulted in legal imbalance and exclusion.

Men's experiences of abuse, harassment, and legal misuse remain largely unrecognized under existing legal frameworks. This invisibility undermines the constitutional promise of equality before law and weakens public confidence in justice institutions.

Gender neutrality does not oppose women's rights; instead, it complements them by ensuring that justice is inclusive and fair. A legal system that recognizes harm irrespective of gender is better equipped to uphold dignity, equality, and constitutional morality.

For India to progress toward true justice, legal reforms must move beyond rigid gender binaries and embrace a balanced approach that protects all individuals. Only then can the scales of justice be truly equal.

## References

1. Constitution of India. (1950). *Government of India*. New Delhi: Ministry of Law and Justice.
2. Agnihotri, I., & Mazumdar, V. (2017). *Changing terms of political discourse: Women's movement in India*. Economic and Political Weekly, 52(5), 32–38.
3. Bhatia, G. (2019). *Offend, shock, or disturb: Free speech under the Indian Constitution*. Oxford University Press.
4. Desai, A. (2021). Gender justice and the limits of protective legislation in India. *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, 28(2), 210–228.
5. Law Commission of India. (2012). *Report No. 243: Section 498A IPC*. Government of India.
6. Law Commission of India. (2017). *Report No. 268: Amendments to criminal law*. Government of India.
7. Menon, N. (2015). *Seeing like a feminist*. New Delhi: Zubaan Publishers.
8. National Crime Records Bureau. (2022). *Crime in India Report*. Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India.
9. Patel, V. (2018). Gender, law and justice in India: A critical overview. *Journal of Indian Law and Society*, 9(1), 45–67.
10. Rajesh Sharma v. State of Uttar Pradesh, (2017) 8 SCC 746.
11. Save Indian Family Foundation. (2020). *Misuse of matrimonial laws in India*. New Delhi.
12. Sinha, R. (2020). Feminist jurisprudence and the question of legal neutrality. *Indian Law Review*, 4(3), 256–273.
13. Supreme Court of India. (2014). *Arnesh Kumar v. State of Bihar*, (2014) 8 SCC 273.

14. Verma, A. (2021). Gender neutrality and constitutional morality in Indian law. *National Law School Journal*, 33(2), 101–120.
15. Walker, L. E. (2016). *The battered woman syndrome*. Springer Publishing.
16. West, R. (2010). *Jurisprudence and gender*. University of Chicago Law Review, 55(1), 1–72.
17. World Health Organization. (2012). *Understanding and addressing violence against men*. WHO Press.
18. Yadav, S., & Tripathi, R. (2019). Domestic violence laws in India: A need for gender-neutral reform. *Journal of Comparative Law*, 14(2), 89–108.

